uamic

Development of New P-Chiral $P_i\pi$ -Dihydrobenzooxaphosphole Hybrid Ligands for Asymmetric Catalysis

Joshua D. Sieber,* Divya Chennamadhavuni,† Keith R. Fandrick, Bo Qu, Zhengxu S. Han, Jolaine Savoie, Shengli Ma, Lalit[h](#page-3-0) P. Samankumara, Nelu Gr[in](#page-3-0)berg, Heewon Lee, Jinhua J. Song, and Chris H. Senanayake

Department of Chemical Development, Boehringer Ingelheim Phamaceuticals, Inc., 900 Ridgebury Road, P.O. Box 368, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877-0368, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [A new family](#page-3-0) of P-chiral P,π -hybrid ligands was prepared from the dihydrobenzooxaphosphole core. These new ligands were demonstrated to be both sterically and electronically tunable at the substituents on the phosphorus atom and the π -system of the ligand. Application of these new ligands to the catalytic asymmetric addition of boronic acids to

imine electrophiles was shown to proceed with high levels of enantioinduction.

 Λ symmetric catalysis is an indispensable tool for the rapid
and atom-economical¹ preparation of valuable chiral
nonpresents examine sempounds² In perticular examination nonracemic organic compounds.² In particular, asymmetric catalytic processes employ[in](#page-3-0)g a transition metal catalyst in conjunction with a chiral phosp[hin](#page-3-0)e ligand have been widely developed and applied to the synthesis of complex molecules.^{2,3} In general, the vast majority of these chiral ligands rely on chirality on the ligand backbone rather than directly [at](#page-3-0) phosphorus (P -chirality).⁴ It has been argued that placing the ligand chirality closer to the metal center may allow for better control of enantioselecti[vi](#page-3-0)ty, 4.5 and therefore, P-chiral ligands should be highly efficient ligands for asymmetric catalysis. While several P-chiral phosp[hin](#page-3-0)e-based ligands are known, 6 the difficulty associated with the preparation of P-stereogenic compounds in enantiomerically pure form is likely the r[ea](#page-3-0)son that there are significantly less of these ligands available compared to their "backbone chiral" counterparts.⁷

Recently, our laboratories have developed a series of useful Pchiral ligands (2, Scheme 1) derived from a dih[yd](#page-3-0)robenzooxaphosphole core (1) prepared through alkyl/arylation of the deprotonated phosphine oxide 1. This enables the synthesis of monophosphines (BI-DIME) useful in hindered⁸ and asymmetric⁹ cross-coupling reactions, bis(phosphines) (BIBOP, POP) useful for asymmetric hydroge[n](#page-3-0)ation^{10,11} and propargylation, 12 and P,N-ligands (BoQPhos) useful for Ir-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation. 13 In an effort t[o furt](#page-3-0)her exploit the chiral [m](#page-3-0)otif of 1 for useful catalytic asymmetric processes, we envisioned that trapping t[he](#page-3-0) intermediate anion of 1 with a cinnamyl electrophile would allow access to P-chiral P,π -hybrid ligands (JoshPhos). 14 Additionally, because we have recently developed a powerful technique for the general synthesis of Pchiral phosphine o[xid](#page-3-0)es¹⁵ that enables the synthesis of 1 with variable R groups, this new P , π -hybrid ligand class would be highly tunable, wher[eby](#page-3-0) both the steric and electronic properties of the ligand may be modulated by modification of the substituent on phosphorus (R) and/or the alkene (Ar) .

Scheme 1. Dihydrobenzooxaphosphole Ligands

Herein we disclose the development of this new family of Pchiral P_1 , π -hybrid ligands and describe their application in the Rh-catalyzed addition of boronic acids to imine electrophiles.

The preparation of a series of JoshPhos ligands was performed using the strategy outlined (Scheme 2). Deprotonation with LDA, followed by trapping with various cinnamyl chloride derivatives, led to the required oxides in [m](#page-1-0)oderate to good yields. Titanium-mediated reduction chemoselectively generated the desired ligands. For ligands containing aryl groups with strong electron-withdrawing groups (i.e., CF_3), the alkylation reaction using the corresponding cinnamyl derivative failed. For these ligands, cross-metathesis between allylated material 9 and the appropriate styrene derivative was employed.

Received: September 19, 2014 Published: October 9, 2014

Scheme 2. Synthesis of P-Chiral P,π -Hybrid Ligands

With access to a series of P , π -hybrid ligands realized, we next examined their utility in asymmetric reactions. Because of the prevalence of chiral nonracemic amines in biologically active compounds and natural products, we first chose to investigate the Rh-catalyzed addition of boronic acids to imine electrophiles¹⁶ to make these important functional groups in an enantioselective fashion. Initially, the reaction between tosyl imine [1](#page-3-0)2 and boronic acid 13 was investigated (Table 1).

NTs Ph 12	(HO) ₂ B OMe 13	2 mol % $[(\eta_2 - CH_2CH_2)_2RhCl]_2$ 5.2 mol % L2 6 mol % KOH base (1.1 equiv) toluene, 60 °C, 6 h	Ph [*]	NHTs OН PMP Ph 15a 14a	PMP
entry	base	% $convb$	$14a/15a^b$	% yield $14a^c$	er 14a
1 ^d	TEA	83	81:19	56	99:1
$2^{d,e}$	TEA	33	76:24	21	99:1
3	TEA	90	89:11	60	99:1
$\overline{4}$	DIPEA	95	96:4	80	99:1
5	$2,6$ -Lut	74	>97:3	55^f	99:1
6	DMAP	$<$ 5		Ω	
7	DBU	45	76:24	22^f	99:1
8 ^g	DABCO	90	89:11	69	97:3
9	proton sponge	96	97:3	75	99:1

a Reaction conditions: imine (0.19 mmol), boronic acid (0.38 mmol), base (0.21 mmol), Rh (4 mol %), L2 (5.2 mol %), 0.5 M KOH (6 mol %), toluene (0.78 mL) , 60 °C, 6 h. b^b Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. "Isolated yield. $d_{2.0}$ equiv of base used. "KOH omitted. f NMR yield. ^g 0.55 equiv of base used.

Ligand L1 was first tested in this reaction, but no evidence for the formation of 14a was observed. However, use of ligand L2 did afford the desired product in modest yield, and we were excited to find that high levels of enantioinduction were obtained in the reaction (entry 1). Additionally, the yield of 14a was significantly reduced in the absence of KOH (entry 2). The main byproduct of the reaction was a result of imine hydrolysis followed by addition of 13 (15a). Reducing the amount of TEA from 2.0 to 1.1 equiv led to a reduction in the amount of product 15a (entry 1 vs 3). This result implied that the amine base affected the rate of hydrolysis of 12, and therefore, we hypothesized that the yield of 14a could be improved by tuning the added base. After a survey of amine bases (entries 3−9), it was discovered that bulky bases such as DIPEA (entry 4) or

proton sponge (entry 9) gave reduced amounts of 15a along with good yields of 14a.

After having identified conditions that promoted the addition of boronic acid 13 to imine 12 in good yield with excellent enantiocontrol, we next examined this procedure with a more synthetically useful imine (Table 2).¹⁷ Somewhat surprisingly,

Table 2. Boronic Acid Addition to [F](#page-3-0)urfuralimine^{a}

	NTs 16	2 mol % $[(n_2 - CH_2CH_2)_2 RhCl]_2$ 5.2 mol % JoshPhos 6 mol % KOH $PhB(OH)_2$ proton sponge toluene, 60 °C, 6 h		NHTs Ph 17a	OН Ph 18a
entry	JoshPhos	% $convb$	$17/18^b$	% yield $17c$	er 17
1 ^d	L2	42	62:38	26	96:4
\mathfrak{p}	L2	70	80:20	36	96:4
3	$L3^e$	60	96:4	41	10:90
$\overline{4}$	L4	>97	>97:3	95	86:14
5	L5	76	94:6	59	97:3
6	L6	94	81:19	62	97:3
7	L7	89	74:26	56	97:3
8	L8	>97	93:7	92	97:3

a Reaction conditions: imine (0.15 mmol), boronic acid (0.30 mmol), base (0.15 mmol), Rh (4 mol %), JoshPhos (5.2 mol %), 0.5 M KOH (6 mol %), toluene (0.62 mL) , 60 °C, 6 h. b^b Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. "Isolated yield. d'DIPEA used in place of proton sponge."

"The opposite enantiomer of L3 used The opposite enantiomer of L3 used.

the optimal conditions for imine 12 using DIPEA as base were not suitable for heterocyclic aldimine 16 (entry 1). Use of proton sponge reduced the amount of hydrolysis product 18a and improved the yield of 17a slightly (entry 2). At this point, we examined the series of JoshPhos ligands we had prepared in this reaction (entries 3−8). Modifying the substituent on phosphorus from the bulky tert-butyl group to aromatic groups gave good to excellent reactivity, but enantioselectivity was reduced (entries 3 and 4). These results demonstrated the necessity of the P-tert-butyl moiety for high enantioselectivity and initially guided us to prepare the JoshPhos family (Scheme 2), whereby the electronic nature of the ligand was tuned by modulating the aryl group of the ligand alkene. Gratifyingly, the electronics of the alkene of the JoshPhos ligands had a significant impact on reactivity and could be used as a suitable tuning element for this series of new ligands (entries 5−8). Ligand L8 containing the most electron-deficient π -system gave the highest yield of 17a in conjunction with the highest enantioselectivity (entry 8).

With identification of the optimal ligand for the addition of boronic acids to imines, we next explored the scope of this new catalytic system (Scheme 3). Electron-rich aldimines gave the

^aReaction conditions: imine (0.175 mmol), boronic acid (0.35 mmol), proton sponge (0.175 mmol), Rh (4 mol %), JoshPhos (5.2 mol %), 0.5 M KOH (6 mol %), toluene (0.71 mL), 60 °C, 6 h.

highest yields (19a), while a reduction in yield was observed as the imine became more electron-poor due to the formation of increased amounts of hydrolysis products (compare 19a vs 19b vs 19c). More sterically hindered aldimines bearing orthosubstitution participated well in the reaction (19d and 19e). Aryl bromides were also tolerated in the reaction (19g). Using an electron-poor boronic acid nucleophile led to reduced reactivity (19h and 19j). In these cases, the yield could be improved by switching to ligand L6.

Scheme 4. Catalyst Structure and Stereochemical Model

The mechanism of the Rh-catalyzed addition of boronic acids to a variety of unsaturated electrophiles has been wellestablished in the literature.^{18b,19} To verify that the π -system of the JoshPhos ligand was involved in binding to the Rh atom of the catalyst, the reaction [of an](#page-3-0) equimolar mixture of ligand **L2** and $[(\eta_2\text{-CH}_2\text{CH}_2),\text{RhCl}]$ ₂ was studied by NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 4). By ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectroscopy, free ligand was no longer present, and only a single phosphorus doublet resonance was observed at 107 ppm $(J_{Rh-P} = 188$ Hz). Furthermore, by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, the alkene protons of the free phosphine were shifted upfield to 3.91 and 4.30 ppm in the new complex, consistent with olefin binding. This data supports the formation of complex 20 that is likely a dimer based on similar reported complexes.²⁰ Subsequent reaction of 20 with hydroxide led to the formation of 21 that was characterized by X-ray crystallography $(21 \bullet CH_2Cl_2)$.

The X-ray single-crystal structure of the $[(L2)RhOH]_2$ catalyst enabled insight into the mechanism of enantiomeric induction in these asymmetric processes. Transmetalation between 21 and $ArB(OH)$ ₂ followed by imine binding is expected to furnish intermediate complexes 22/23. In these complexes, the preferred geometry about the Rh atom would place the Ar group trans to the olefin substituent of the JoshPhos ligand and the imine electrophile trans to the phosphorus group due to the "trans-effect".^{21,22} The chirality of the JoshPhos ligand bound to Rh places the arene group of the ligand alkene in the "northwest" quadrant [of t](#page-3-0)he Rh complex. This orientation forces imine binding to be preferred from the si-face (22) to avoid a steric interaction between the aryl group on the ligand alkene and the tosyl group of the imine (23). Modeling of the transition state for the conversion of 22 to product using $DFT^{23,24}$ afforded TS-1 that is in agreement with this proposal. Lastly, this catalytic system also affected the addition of phenyl [bor](#page-3-0)onic acid to cyclohexenone with high enantiocontrol. Modeling of this system using DFT was in complete agreement with the proposed stereochemical model.²⁵

In conclusion, we have designed and developed a new family of P-c[hir](#page-3-0)al P,olefin hybrid ligands based on the dihydrobenzooxaphosphole core. To the best of our knowledge, these are the first P-chiral P,π -hybrid ligands reported. These new ligands were demonstrated to be both sterically and electronically tunable at the substituents on the phosphorus atom and the π -

system of the ligand. Additionally, this new series of ligands affected the addition of boronic acid nucleophiles to imine electrophiles with high levels of enantiocontrol, and a predictive stereochemical model was developed to rationalize the observed stereochemistry in these processes. Further application and development of this new family of P-chiral P,olefin ligands is under investigation.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Complete literature citations, experimental procedures, characterization data, and DFT methods. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: joshua.sieber@boehringer-ingelheim.com.

Present Address

† (D.C.) Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Trost, B. M. Science 1991, 254, 1471−1477. (b) Trost, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 259−281.

(2) (a) Trost, B. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2004, 101, 5348− 5355. (b) Farina, V.; Reeves, J. T.; Senanayake, C. H.; Song, J. J. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2734.

(3) (a) Berrisford, D. J.; Bolm, C.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1059−1070. (b) New Frontiers in Asymmetric Catalysis; Mikami, K., Lautens, M., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, 2007.

(4) Crepy, K. V. L.; Imamoto, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 79− 101.

(5) (a) Knowles, W. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1998−2007. (b) Lipkowitz, K. B.; D'Hue, C. A.; Sakamoto, T.; Stack, J. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14255−14267.

(6) Selected examples: (a) Knowles, W. S.; Sabacky, M. J.; Vineyard, B. D.; Weinkauff, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2567−2568. (b) Imamoto, T.; Tamura, K.; Zhang, Z.; Horiuchi, Y.; Sugiya, M.; Yoshida, K.; Yanagisawa, A.; Gridnev, I. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1754−1769. (c) Liu, D.; Zhang, X. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 646−649. (d) Tang, W.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1612−1614. (7) For a review including the synthesis of P-chiral phosphines, see:

Wauters, I.; Debrouwer, W.; Stevens, C. V. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 1064−1096.

(8) (a) Tang, W.; Capacci, A. G.; Wei, X.; Li, W.; White, A.; Patel, N. D.; Savoie, J.; Gao, J. J.; Rodriguez, S.; Qu, B.; et al. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2010, 49, 5879−5883. (b) Rodriguez, S.; Qu, B.; Haddad, N.; Reeves, D. C.; Tang, W.; Lee, H.; Krishnamurthy, D.; Senanayake, C. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 533−537.

(9) (a) Tang, W.; Patel, N. D.; Xu, G.; Xu, X.; Savoie, J.; Shengli, M.; Hao, M.-H.; Keshipeddy, S.; Capacci, A. G.; Wei, X.; et al. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2258−2261. (b) Xu, G.; Fu, W.; Liu, G.; Senanayake, C. H.; Tang, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 570−573.

(10) (a) Tang, W.; Qu, B.; Capacci, A. G.; Rodriguez, S.; Wei, X.; Haddad, N.; Narayanan, B.; Ma, S.; Grinberg, N.; Yee, N. K.; et al. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 176−1769. (b) Tang, W.; Capacci, A. G.; White, A.; Ma, S.; Rodriguez, S.; Qu, B.; Savoie, J.; Patel, N. D.; Wei, X.; Haddad, N.; et al. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1104-1107.

(11) Rodriguez, S.; Qu, B.; Fandrick, K. R.; Buono, F.; Haddad, N.; Xu, Y.; Herbage, M. A.; Zeng, X.; Ma, S.; Grinberg, N.; et al. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 301−307.

(12) Fandrick, D. R.; Fandrick, K. R.; Reeves, J. T.; Yan, Z.; Tang, W.; Capacci, A. G.; Rodriguez, S.; Song, J. J.; Lee, H.; et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7600−7601.

(13) Qu, B.; Samankumara, L. P.; Savoie, J.; Fandrick, D. R.; Haddad, N.; Wei, X.; Ma, S.; Lee, H.; Rodriguez, S.; Busacca, C. A.; et al. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 993−1000.

(14) For a review of hybrid phosphine-alkene ligands, see: Feng, X.; Du, H. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2012, 1, 204−213.

(15) Han, Z. S.; Goyal, N.; Herbage, M. A.; Sieber, J. D.; Qu, B.; Xu, Y.; Li, Z.; Reeves, J. T.; Desrosiers, J.-N.; Ma, S.; et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2474−2477.

(16) Selected examples: (a) Kuriyama, M.; Soeta, T.; Hao, X.; Chen, Q.; Tomioka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8128−8129. (b) Tokunaga, N.; Otomaru, Y.; Okamoto, K.; Ueyama, K.; Shintani, R.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13584− 13585. (c) Otomaru, T.; Tokunaga, N.; Shintani, R.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 307−310. (d) Wang, Z.-Q.; Feng, C.-G.; Xu, M.-H.; Lin, G.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5336−5337. (e) Jagt, R. B. C.; Toullec, P. Y.; Geerdink, D.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L.; Minnaard, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2789−2791. (f) Cui, Z.; Yu, H.-J.; Yang, R.-F.; Gao, W.-Y.; Feng, C.-G.; Lin, G.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12394−12397. (g) Review: Ping, T.; Dong, H.-Q.; Lin, G.- Q. ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 95−119.

(17) Use of the furyl group as a masked carboxylic acid toward α amino acids has been demonstrated. See: Yamamoto, Y.; Takahashi, Y.; Kurihara, K.; Miyaura, N. Aust. J. Chem. 2011, 64, 1447−1453.

(18) (a) Hayashi, T.; Ueyama, K.; Tokunaga, N.; Yoshida, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11508−11509. (b) Hayashi, T.; Takahashi, M.; Takaya, Y.; Ogasawara, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5052−5058. (c) Defieber, C.; Paquin, J.-F.; Serna, S.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3873−3876.

(19) Duan, W.-L.; Iwamura, H.; Shintani, R.; Hayahsi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2130−2138.

(20) Ogasawara, M.; Tseng, Y.-Y.; Arae, S.; Morita, T.; Nakaya, T.; Wu, W.-Y.; Takahashi, T.; Kamikawa, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9377−9384.

(21) (a) Quagliano, J. V.; Schubert, L. Chem. Rev. 1952, 50, 201−260. (b) Coe, B. J.; Glenwright, S. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 203, 5−80.

(22) Electrophile coordination *trans* to phosphorous in $Rh(P,olefin)$ complexes has been observed by NMR spectroscopy; see ref 19. For other P,alkene chiral ligands where trans coordination is invoked, see ref 20 and Kasak, P.; Arion, V. B.; Widhalm, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 3084−3090.

(23) For DFT calculations with B3LYP and LANL2DZ basis sets, see: (a) Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Hay, P. J. In Modern Theoretical Chemistry; Schaefer, H. F., III, Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1976; Vol. 3, pp 1−28. (b) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270−283. (c) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 299−310. (d) Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 284−298.

(24) Software for DFT: Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, W. G.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. Gaussian 09, revision C.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2010.

(25) See the Supporting Information for details.